The Crystal and Molecular Structure of trans-1,4-Bis- $(\pi$ -cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron)buta-1,3-diene

BY MELVYN R. CHURCHILL AND JOHN WORMALD

Received January 9, 1969

The compound *trans*-1,4-bis-(π -cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron)buta-1,3-diene, [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂C₄H₄, crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group P2₁/c (C_{2b}³) with $a = 6.794 \pm 0.005$ Å, $b = 11.471 \pm 0.010$ Å, $c = 11.787 \pm 0.007$ Å, $\beta = 113.14 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$, and Z = 2. Observed and calculated densities are 1.60 ± 0.01 and 1.596 g cm⁻³, respectively. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study based on data out to sin $\theta = 0.38$ (Mo K α radiation) has led to the unambiguous location of all atoms, including the hydrogen atoms. The final discrepancy index for the 989 independent nonzero reflections is $R_F = 5.48\%$. The crystal consists of discrete molecular units of [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂C₄H₄, which have crystallographically imposed C_i symmetry. The FeCH=CHCH=CHFe system has an all-*trans* configuration and is closely planar, as are the π -cyclopentadienyl ligands. The iron-butadiene σ bonds are 1.987 ± 0.005 Å in length—*i.e.*, some 0.12 Å shorter than the Fe-C(sp³) bond length of 2.11 ± 0.02 Å found in π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂(σ -C₅H₅) and 0.07 Å shorter than the Fe-C(sp³) bond length of 2.11 ± 0.02 Å found in π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂(σ -C₅H₅) and 0.07 Å shorter than the butadiene system are 1.340 ± 0.007 , 1.450 ± 0.011 , and 1.340 ± 0.007 Å.

Introduction

The reaction of π -C₃H₅Fe(CO)₂Na with *cis*-3,4-dichlorocyclobutene proceeds exothermally, producing¹ the complex $[\pi$ -C₃H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂C₄H₄. The room-temperature proton magnetic resonance (pmr) spectrum of this complex (at 60 Mc) shows two sharp singlets at τ 3.76 and 5.05 (relative integrated intensities 2:5). This unexpectedly simple spectrum at first suggested that the complex might contain a new type of metalcyclobutadiene interaction and prompted the present X-ray diffraction study. Both the present authors^{1a} and Davis^{1b} have published preliminary accounts of this structure.

Unit Cell and Space Group

Orange crystals of $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂C₄H₄ were supplied by the late Professor G. F. Emerson of the State University of New York at Stony Brook. The complex is air stable and is not X-ray sensitive. Optical examination and the observed reciprocal lattice symmetry (C_{2h}; 2/m) indicated that the crystals belonged to the monoclinic system. Unit cell dimensions at 24 ± 2° obtained by a least-squares analysis of calibrated ($a_{\text{NaC1}} = 5.640$ Å) high-angle precession data taken with Mo K α radiation ($\bar{\lambda}$ 0.7107 Å) are $a = 6.794 \pm 0.005$ Å, $b = 11.471 \pm 0.010$ Å, $c = 11.787 \pm 0.007$ Å, and $\beta = 113.14 \pm 0.02$.° The volume of the unit cell is 844.7 Å³.

A survey of photographs for the zones 0kl, 1kl, h0l, h1l, hk0, and hk1 revealed the systematic absences h0l for l = 2n + 1 and 0k0 for k = 2n + 1, compatible only with space group P2₁/c (C_{2h}⁵).² The observed density (1.60 \pm 0.01 g cm⁻³, by flotation in aqueous zinc iodide solution) indicates two molecules per unit cell. (The calculated density is 1.596 g cm⁻³ for M = 405.70, Z = 2.) Thus, provided the crystal is not disordered,

(1) (a) M. R. Churchill, J. Wormald, W. P. Giering, and G. F. Emerson, Chem. Commun., 1217 (1968); (b) R. E. Davis, *ibid.*, 1218 (1968). the molecule is required to possess a crystallographically imposed center of symmetry.

Collection and Reduction of the X-Ray Diffraction Data

The crystals are well-formed parallelepipeds with large and distinct (100), (011), and (011) faces. Two crystals were used in the course of collecting intensity data: crystal I ($0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.26$ mm) was mounted along its *c* axis, and crystal II ($0.16 \times 0.36 \times 0.34$ mm) was mounted along its *b* axis. [Dimensions refer sequentially to the [100], [011], and [011] directions in the crystal.]

Intensity data (Mo K α radiation, $\bar{\lambda}$ 0.7107 Å) were collected on a 0.01°-incrementing Supper-Pace Buerger automated diffractometer using the stationary-background, ω -scan, stationary-background method. Both the technique and the apparatus have been described in detail in a previous publication.³

Experimental details that are specific to the present structural investigation include the following. (i) The X-ray generator was stabilized at 48.6 kV/19.1 mA. (ii) The angle scanned (ω) is given by $\omega = 1.5 +$ $(0.7/L)^{\circ}$, where 1/L is the Lorentz factor. (iii) The speed of the ω scan was 2°/min. (iv) The initial and final backgrounds were each measured for one-fourth the time of the main scan. (v) Within each level a carefully preselected check reflection was remeasured after each batch of 20 reflections had been collected. No significant $[i.e., \ge 3(\text{count})^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ variations from the mean were detected. This confirms that the electronics were stable and that the crystal remained accurately aligned.⁴ (vi) I(hkl), the intensity of the reflection *hkl*, was calculated as: $I(hkl) = C(hkl) - 2[B_1(hkl) +$ $B_2(hkl)$], where C(hkl) is the count associated with the ω scan, and $B_1(hkl)$ and $B_2(hkl)$ are the counts associated with the initial and the final backgrounds, respectively.

^{(2) &}quot;International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. I, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1965, p 99.

⁽³⁾ M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, Inorg. Chem. 7, 1123 (1968).

⁽⁴⁾ Each crystal was also checked photographically at the beginning and and of data collection. No problems of missignment were accountered

end of data collection. No problems of misalignment were encountered.

Using equiinclination Weissenberg geometry, data for the zones hkL (L = 0-10) were collected from crystal I, and data for the zones hKl (K = 0-12) were collected from crystal II. This represents, in each case, a single complete set of diffraction data to $\sin \theta = 0.38$, save for the few reflections in the range $0 \le \theta \le 4^\circ$ which are shielded from the counter by the lead backstop. Long-exposure Weissenberg photographs had shown previously that there were few observable data beyond sin $\theta = 0.38$.

Data were assigned standard deviations according to the following scheme (where $\delta(hkl) = 3[C(hkl) + B_1(hkl) + B_2(hkl)]^{1/2}$): $I(hkl) \ge 1225$, $\sigma\{I(hkl)\} = 0.1[I(hkl)]$; $1225 > I(hkl) \ge \delta(hkl)$, $\sigma\{I(hkl)\} = 3.5[I(hkl)]^{1/2}$; $I(hkl) < \delta(hkl)$, reflection rejected.

Of the 1196 reflections obtained from crystal I, 253 were rejected; of the 1192 reflections obtained from crystal II, 148 were rejected. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects $[(Lp)^{-1} =$ $2 \cos^2 \bar{\mu} \sin \Upsilon / (1 + \cos^2 2\theta)]^{\sharp}$ and an absorption correction was applied⁶ ($\mu = 17.86 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for Mo K α radiation); calculated transmission coefficients ranged from 0.688 to 0.745 for data from crystal I (volume 0.0093) mm³) and from 0.577 to 0.756 for data from crystal II (volume 0.0197 mm³). Duplicate "check reflections" were removed, the median value being retained in each case. The two sets of data were then merged to a common scale by a least-squares analysis of common reflections.7 The resulting 989 independent, nonzero reflections were used in a Wilson plot,⁸ which put the data on an approximately absolute scale and yielded the over-all isotropic thermal parameter, $\bar{B} = 2.45 \text{ Å}^2$.

Elucidation and Refinement of the Structure

A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis,⁹ which had been sharpened such that the average intensity was invariant with θ , revealed a set of vectors consistent with an iron atom at x = 0.1315, y = 0.1621, z = -0.2359. A three-dimensional "difference-Fourier" synthesis phased only by the iron atom $(R_F = 35.4\%)^{10}$ quickly and unambiguously revealed the locations of all nonhydrogen atoms in the molecule. Five cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of all positional and isotropic thermal parameters led to convergence at R_F = 11.56% and $R_{wF^2} = 8.35\%$. A second "difference-Fourier" map at this stage showed considerable residual electron density in the plane of the π -cyclopentadienyl ring and showed asymmetric features about all atoms other than C_6 and C_7 . The hydrogen atoms of the π -cyclopentadienyl system could not be identified unambiguously, but those of the butadiene system $(i.e., H_6 \text{ and } H_7)$ stood out clearly with peak heights of 0.5 and $0.7 e^{-}/Å^{3}$.

All seven hydrogen atoms were now included in the structure factor calculation (using calculated¹¹ positions and having isotropic thermal parameters set at B = 6.0 Å²) but were not allowed to refine. Seven cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameter refinement for the 12 nonhydrogen atoms resulted in convergence at $R_F = 5.48\%$ and $R_{wF^2} = 2.12\%$. The maximum suggested shift in any coordinate was less than 2.5% of the appropriate standard deviation.

An "observed" electron density synthesis showed the following peak heights: 4.3–4.9 e⁻/Å³ for carbon atoms of the π -cyclopentadienyl system, 5.3–7.3 e⁻/Å³ for other carbon atoms, and 7.2–7.8 e⁻/Å³ for oxygen atoms. A "difference-Fourier" map showed no significant residual electron density, except in the vicinity of the iron atom.

A test was now made to see whether or not the inclusion of all seven hydrogen atoms constituted a legitimate procedure. The structure was allowed to refine to convergence omitting all hydrogen atoms from the structure factor calculations. The discrepancy indices thus obtained ($R_F = 6.22\%$, $R_{wF^2} = 3.05\%$) confirm that inclusion of the hydrogen atoms leads to an improvement that is significant¹² with a level of confidence greater than 99.5%. A final set of electron density maps, through the plane of the π -cyclopentadienyl system, led to the unambiguous location of the five hydrogen atoms of this system, with individual peak heights ranging from 0.41 to 0.55 e^{-/Å3} (see Figure 1).

Throughout the analysis, the scattering factors for neutral oxygen, carbon and hydrogen were used.^{13a} The Thomas–Fermi–Dirac values for neutral iron^{13b} were corrected for dispersion ($\Delta f' = 0.4 \text{ e}^-$; $\Delta f'' = 1.0 \text{ e}^-$).^{13c} The residual minimized was $\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2$, where $w = [\sigma\{F^2(hkl)\}]^{-2}$.

Observed and calculated structure factors are collected in Table I. Final positional parameters are shown in Table II. Anisotropic thermal parameters are listed in Table III; their appropriate thermal vibration ellipsoids are defined in Table IV and are illustrated in Figure 2.

The Molecular Structure

The results of the crystallographic analysis show that the molecule is the all-*trans* form of 1,4-bis(π -cyclopentadienyldicarbonyliron)buta-1,3-diene. The molecule possesses a crystallographically imposed center of symmetry (in the center of the C(7)-C(7') bond at x = 0, y =1/2, z = 1/2). As may conveniently be seen in Figure 3, atoms of the basic asymmetric unit are unprimed; those in the "other half" of the molecule (which is related to the basic asymmetric unit by the transformation: x' = -x, y' = 1 - y, z' = 1 - z) are each labeled with a prime.

⁽⁵⁾ $\ddot{\mu}$ is the equiinclination angle, Υ is the vertical Weissenberg coordinate, and θ is the Bragg angle.

⁽⁶⁾ Using a local modification of the IBM 7094 program GNABS—a general absorption correction program by C. W. Burnham.

⁽⁷⁾ A. D. Rae, Acta Cryst., 19, 683 (1965).

⁽⁸⁾ A. J. C. Wilson, *Nature*, **150**, 152 (1942).

⁽⁹⁾ All computations were performed under the CRYM system. CRYM is an integrated sequence of crystallographic routines for the IBM 7094, written under the direction of Professor R. E. Marsh at the California Institute of Technology.

⁽¹⁰⁾ $R_F = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_0|/\Sigma |F_0|; R_{wF^2} = \Sigma w (|F_0|^2 - |F_0|^2)/\Sigma w |F_0|^4.$

⁽¹¹⁾ Hydrogen atom positions were calculated with $d(\rm C-H)=1.080$ Å and with the H–C* vector bisecting the appropriate C–C*–C or Fe–C*–C angle.

⁽¹²⁾ W. C. Hamilton, Acta Cryst., 18, 502 (1965).

^{(13) &}quot;International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. III, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962: (a) p 202; (b) p 211;
(c) p 216.

H = -5 0 2 32 21* 0 2 172 183 0 4 133 122 0 9 23 316 0 8 491 445 0 40 315 315 0 40 315 315 1 0 225 233 1 1 162 166 1 2 312 321	5 3 35 242 5 3 1 52 5 5 1 52 5 7 104 101 5 4 234 231 6 7 104 231 6 2 52 55 4 6 67 66 6 7 10	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	h -a C 0 64 35 u 0 17 [12] U 0 10 760 0 10 280 270 0 10 204 255 1 204 205 210 1 204 205 195 1 206 195 1 1 0 294 243 1 0 294 243 1 7 142 136	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H = -4 0 0 449 482 U 2 878 542 0 4 558 545 0 6 405 412 0 8 410 407 0 10 180 187	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L 3 75 78 L 3 64 363 L 5 164 172	ODSER
9 7 134 133 10 1 92 99 10 2 146 141 10 3 131 129 10 4 116 111 	8 0 97 68 8 1 169 210 8 2 76 81 8 3 268 260 8 5 241 234 8 7 213 267 9 2 50 43 9 3 44 38 9 3 44 38 9 4 49 33 9 5 48 40 9 6 65 17	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K L PD FC 1 11 47 42 1 12 L74 L96 2 0 223 241	VED AND C
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K L FO FC U 6 432 409 O 8 236 230 U 10 113 122	ALCULATED
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 5 411 362 4 6 161 167 4 7 311 314 4 8 155 157 4 9 50 56 4 10 147 158 5 0 447 480 5 1 414 415 5 2 771 765 5 3 252 5 5	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 3 128 1300 9 4 62 51 53 9 5 151 150 97 9 7 218 227 93 9 7 10 107 157 10 2 153 153 153 10 2 153 153 153 10 2 153 153 153 10 3 42 50 10 10 4 104 158 100 11 2 168 106 103 H + -2 2 0 -127 123	K L FO FC 8 9 53 48 9 4 113 108 9 1 139 146	SIRUCIURE
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 2 127 119 12 2 3 648 592 2 4 519 479 44 2 5 653 613 2 6 217 2211 2 7 455 459 2 6 347 357 0 2 10 54 53 2 11 189 201 0 2 12 140 97 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K L FQ FC F 6 40 29 4 6 5 266 267 4 6 7 44 45 4	FACTORS FOR
 403 382 5 150 125 6 255 280 7 53 74 8 160 194 9 178 177 10 197 175 11 135 143 2 558 558 3 370 361 4 354 333 5 473 465 	2 108 156 H 4 U 4 314 317 6 315 329 0 256 246 10 233 227 1 U4 111 3 U4 111	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 27% 247 - 446 432 - 248 440 - 203 402 - 203 400 - 203 400	5 812 747 4 348 359 5 758 741	$[\pi - C_5 \Pi_5]$
H + 1 H + 124 103 H + 124 103 H + 25 H + 1 U + 557 51;	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K L PU PC 2 6 48 18 2 7 294 308 2 5 94 88	$Fe(CO)_2]_2C_2$
y b 41 43 9 7 73 166 10 0 221 245 10 1 0 34 51 10 2 75 55 10 34 51 10 2 75 55 10 34 51 10 3 45 51 10 2 11 11 2 153 162 11 11 11 11 13 46 47 11 3 45 48 10 14 180 17 47 12 0 96 109 109 109 109	7 b 114 105 6 0 141 152 6 1 131 164 8 3 105 105 6 9 153 142 6 4 5 52 6 6 65 5 1 322 329 5 2 113 95 5 3 205 265 5 5 205 196		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C & 172 160 C & 172 160 C & 61 62 C 10 52 49	4FI4 (IN EL
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 0 357 372 7 1 204 215 7 2 342 341 7 3 247 249 7 4 59 58 7 5 166 163 7 6 87 97 7 7 64 85 6 0 90 88 8 1 64 91 8 2 153 136		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ECTRONS X
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 U 94 115 10 L 62 55 10 2 130 143 H = 4 0 0 456 482 0 2 401 391 0 4 165 174		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H - 3 0 0 566 573 0 4 324 542 0 4 342 0 4 134 1342 0 8 183 174 1 0 315 330 1 1 328 400 1 1 328 400 1 7 65 71 2 0 75 101 2 1 430 451 2 1 430 451 2 4 122 120 3 4 122 120 3 4 122 120 3 4 122 120 4 4 12 120 4 5	K L FD FC 11 1 55 40 11 2 184 192	10.00)
3 L 226 214 4 L 71 62 5 0 L43 137 5 0 L43 137	H = 6 0 0 72 39 0 2 108 104 0 4 198 173 1 0 251 254 1 1 97 107 1 2 176 166 2 0 58 60 2 1 52 48 2 2 57 82	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K L FO FC 2 6 108 106 3 1 117 116 3 3 55 28	





Figure 1.—Electron density maps in the plane of the π -cyclopentadienyl ligand. (a) The "observed" electron density map, with contours at 1-electron intervals. The lowest (dashed) contour represents zero electron density. (b) The "difference" synthesis, showing the positions of the five π -cyclopentadienyl hydrogen atoms. Contours are at 0.1-electron intervals, the dashed line representing the $0.1 \text{ e}^-/\text{Å}^3$ contour.

Bond lengths are collected in Table V, while interatomic angles are shown in Table VI.

The complex may be regarded as a d^6 Fe(II) derivative; if, as is customary, the π -cyclopentadienyl ligand is regarded as tridentate, then the central iron atom has a pseudooctahedral coordination. Angles between the monodentate ligands—viz., C(6)-Fe- $C(8) = 90.6^{\circ}, C(6)$ - $Fe-C(9) = 86.0^{\circ}, C(8)-Fe-C(9) = 94.1^{\circ}$ (each with $\sigma =$

	Ta	BLE II	
	Final Position	al Parameters	FOR
	$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe$	$(CO)_{2}]_{2}C_{4}H_{4}a^{-c}$	
Atom	x	y	s
Fe	0.13069(12)	0.33888(7)	0.26566 (7)
O(1)	-0.1348(9)	0.1767(4)	0.3299(6)
O(2)	-0.2285(9)	0.4553(5)	0.0774(5)
C(1)	0.3256(12)	0.2119(7)	0.2347(9)
C(2)	0.3016(12)	0.2994(8)	0.1567(7)
C(3)	0.3784(12)	0.4004(7)	0.2189(9)
C(4)	0.4593(11)	0.3760(10)	0.3442(10)
C(5)	0.4212(12)	0.2540(10)	0.3525(8)
C(6)	0.0966 (8)	0.4570(5)	0.3799(5)
C(7)	0.0015(8)	0.4521(5)	0.4603(5)
C(8)	-0.0302(10)	0.2410(5)	0.3043(6)
C(9)	-0.0861(11)	0.4081(6)	0.1504(6)
H(1)	0.2783	0.1224	0.2109
H(2)	0.2299	0.2913	0.0574
H(3)	0.3809	0.4857	0.1808
H(4)	0.5327	0.4367	0.4191
H(5)	0.4628	0.2049	0.4370
H(6)	0.1678	0.5405	0.3768
H(7)	-0.0775	0.3720	0.4661

^a Estimated standard deviations, shown in parentheses, are right-adjusted to the last digit of the preceding number. ^b Hydrogen atoms are in calculated positions.¹¹ ^c Hydrogen atoms are numbered similarly to the carbon atoms to which they are attached.

 (0.3°) --are each close to the ideal octahedral value of 90°. In keeping with the observed diamagnetism of the complex, each Fe(II) ion reaches the appropriate

Anisotropic Thermal Parameters for $[\pi$ -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂] ₂ C ₄ H ₄ ^{a,b}						
Atom	104 <i>β</i> 11	$10^{4}\beta_{22}$	104 <i>β</i> 883	$10^{4}\beta_{12}$	104 <i>\$</i> 18	104 <i>β</i> 23
Fe	193.7(3.2)	62.1(0.9)	59.7(1.1)	-3.7(1.8)	105.5(2.6)	-26.5(1.1)
O(1)	446(19)	90 (4)	218(9)	-112(14)	437(25)	-60 (10)
O (2)	391 (18)	155(6)	111(5)	152 (17)	28(18)	30 (11)
C(1)	275(22)	108(7)	176(12)	38 (20)	245 (29)	-65(15)
C(2)	306(23)	169(9)	110 (8)	48(25)	221 (25)	-30 (16)
C(3)	314 (25)	104(7)	188 (12)	-69(20)	300(31)	-37 (16)
C(4)	134(18)	234(12)	216(13)	-72(24)	132 (25)	-318 (19)
C(5)	284(24)	261 (15)	118 (9)	283 (31)	205 (26)	122(20)
C (6)	214 (16)	63(5)	62(5)	11 (14)	87 (16)	-3 (8)
C(7)	209(16)	67(5)	65(5)	23(14)	102 (16)	-7(8)
C(8)	273 (19)	70(5)	103(7)	25(17)	196(20)	-43 (10)
C(9)	303(22)	99 (6)	87 (7)	-35 (19)	152(22)	-43 (11)

TABLE III

^a The anisotropic thermal parameter, T, is defined as: $T = \exp(-\beta_{11}h^2 - \beta_{22}k^2 - \beta_{33}l^2 - \beta_{12}kh - \beta_{13}hl - \beta_{23}kl)$. ^b Estimated standard deviations are shown in parentheses.

	TABL	E IV	
ATOMIC VIBR	ATION ELLIPSOID	SFOR $[\pi - C_5H_5F_6]$	$e(CO)_{2}]_{2}C_{4}H_{4}$
	B_{\max} , Å	$B_{ m med},~{ m \AA}^2$	$B_{\min}, { m \AA}^2$
Fe	3.74	3.02	2.08
O(1)	11.38	4.70	3.50
O(2)	10.16	7.37	3.64
C(1)	9.10	5.55	2.79
C(2)	9.18	5.73	2.97
C(3)	9.19	5.37	3.39
C(4)	19.86	3.30	1.96
C(5)	15.60	4.58	2.77
C(6)	3.55	3.15	2.86
C(7)	3.81	3.22	2.66
C(8)	5.60	4.03	2.36
C(9)	5.92	4.48	3.12

^a Axis dimensions for the vibration ellipsoids are presented in terms of the isotropic thermal parameter, B. The transformation to root-mean-square displacement is: $(\overline{U^2})^{1/2} = (B/8\pi^2)^{1/2}$.



Figure 2.—The asymmetric unit of the molecule, projected in the plane of the π -cyclopentadienyl ring. The 68% probability contours of the atomic vibration ellipsoids are shown. (The diagram was constructed using OTLIPS, an IBM 1620 program written by Dr. P. H. Bird.)

noble gas configuration (*i.e.*, that of krypton) by the donation of six electrons from a π -cyclopentadienyl ligand, two electrons from each of the two carbonyl ligands, and two electrons from a butadiene-to-metal σ bond.¹⁴



Figure 3.—Numbering of atoms in the $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂C₄H₄ molecule. Atoms related to the basic asymmetric unit by the transformation (-x, 1 - y, 1 - z) are marked with a prime.

TABLE V INTRAMOLECULAR DISTANCES WITHIN THE $[\pi - C_{\delta}H_{\delta}Fe(CO)_{2}]_{2}C_{4}H_{4}$ Molecule^a Atoms Dist, Å Atoms Dist, Å (a) Distances within the π -Cyclopentadienyl Ligand Fe-C(1)2.094(8)C(1)-C(2)1.327 (12) 2.091(8)Fe-C(2)C(2)-C(3)1.360 (12) Fe--C(3) 2.088 (8) C(3)-C(4)1.387 (13)b Fe-C(4)2.097(10)C(4) - C(5) $1.433 (14)^{b}$ Fe-C(5) 2.074(9)C(5)-C(1) $1.369 (13)^{b}$ 2.089 (8) C-C (av) Fe-C (av) 1.375 (35) (b) Distances within the trans-Buta-1,3-diene Ligand 1.987(5)C(6) - C(7)Fe-C(6)1.340(7)C(7)-C(7')1.450(11)(c) Distances within the Carbonyl Ligands Fe-C(8)1.747(6)C(8) - O(1)1.143 (8)

Fe-C(9) 1.752 (7) C(9)-O(2) 1.146 (9) Fe-C (av) 1.750 (7)° C-O (av) 1.145 (9)° ^a Estimated standard deviations (esd's), shown in parentheses, re right-adjusted to the least significant digit in the preceding imber. ^b Carbon-carbon distances within the π -cyclopenta-

are right-adjusted to the least significant digit in the preceding number. ^b Carbon-carbon distances within the π -cyclopentadienyl ring are almost certainly low, owing to a large amplitude of libration for this system. ^c Esd's for mean values are defined as the rms deviation or as σ_{mean} (whichever is the greater).

The cyclopentadienyl ligand takes up the most symmetric possible rotational orientation such that the iron-to-butadiene linkage (Fe-C(6)) passes directly below

⁽¹⁴⁾ An equally satisfactory way of enumerating electrons is to consider the molecule as an Fe(0) complex with five electrons donated from a neutral π -cyclopentadienyl ligand, two electrons from each carbonyl ligand, and one electron from the metal-carbon σ bond. The difference is one of formalism only.

TABLE VI					
Interatomic Angles within the					
$[\pi - C_{\delta}H_{\delta}Fe(CO)_{2}]_{2}C_{4}H_{4} MOLECULE^{a,b}$					
Atoms	Angle, deg	Atoms	Angle, deg		
(a) Within the π -Cyclopentadienyl Ring					
C(1)-Fe- $C(2)$	37.0(3)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	110.7(8)		
C(2)-Fe- $C(3)$	38.0 (3)	C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	108.1 (8)		
C(3)-Fe- $C(4)$	38.7(4)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	105.2 (9)		
C(4)-Fe- $C(5)$	40.2(4)	C(4)-C(5)-C(1)	107.5 (9)		
C(5)-Fe- $C(1)$	38.4(4)	C(5)-C(1)-C(2)	108.5 (8)		
Av	38.5 (1.2)	Av	108.0 (1.8)		
(b) Within the Buta-1,3-diene System					
Fe-C(6)-C(7)	131.8(4)	C(6)-C(7)-C(7')	123.8 (7)		
(c) Within the Carbonyl Ligands					
Fe-C(8)-O(1)	179.7(6)	Fe-C(9)-O(2)	178.2 (7)		
(d) Between Ligands					
C(6)-Fe-c.o.g.	121.9 (3)	C(6)-Fe- $C(8)$	90.6 (3)		
C(8)-Fe-c.o.g.	126.1(4)	C(6)-Fe- $C(9)$	86.0 (3)		
C(9)-Fe-c.o.g.	126.7(4)	C(8)-Fe- $C(9)$	94.1 (3)		
^a See footnotes	a-c to Table	V. ^b The symbol '	'c.o.g.'' repre-		

sents the centroid of the π -cyclopentadienyl system. C(4) (with C(4) · · · C(6) = 2.815 ± 0.010 Å) while ironcarbonyl bonds pass beneath the C(1)-C(5) and C(2)-

carbonyl bonds pass beneath the C(1)–C(5) and C(2)– C(3) bonds such that C(1)···C(8) = 2.861 ± 0.010 Å, C(5)···C(8) = 2.895 ± 0.011 Å and C(2)···C(9) = 2.889 ± 0.011 Å, C(3)···C(9) = 2.935 ± 0.011 Å (see Figure 2).

The π -Cyclopentadienyl Ligand.—The root-meansquare deviation of the π -cyclopentadienyl carbon atoms from their least-squares plane [-0.9797X + 0.2000Y + 0.0090Z + 0.5911 = 0] is only 0.005 Å (see Table VII). Individual bond angles around the five-

TABLE VII					
Important Planes within the					
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2]_2 C_4 H_4$ MOLECULE ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}					
Atom	Dev, Å	Atom	Dev, Å		
	(a) π -Cycloper	ntadienyl Rin	g:		
-0.979	97X + 0.2000Y +	-0.0090Z +	0.5911 = 0		
C(1)	-0.001	C(4)	-0.007		
C (2)	-0.003	C(5)	+0.005		
C(3)	+0.006	Fe*	+1.731		
(b) The Buta-1,3-diene System:					
0.6232X - 0.3338Y + 0.7072Z - 0.4746 = 0					
C(6)	0.000	Fe*	+0.051		
C(7)	0.000				
All planes are conversed in Orat size and 11 (37 JC					

^{*a*} All planes are expressed in Cartesian coordinates (X, Y, Z). These are related to the natural crystal axes (x, y, z) by the transformations: $X = xa + zc \cos \beta$, Y = yb, $Z = zc \sin \beta$. ^{*b*} A plane is derived using unit weights for all atoms other than those marked with an asterisk.

membered ring range from 105.2 to 110.7°, averaging 108.0° —the ideal value for a regular planar pentagon. Carbon–carbon bond distances within the ring range from 1.327 to 1.433 Å and average 1.375 Å. This mean value is significantly lower than the carbon–carbon bond distance of 1.431 ± 0.005 Å found from an electron diffraction study of ferrocene¹⁵ (and which is prob-

(15) R. K. Bohn and A. Haaland, J. Organometal. Chem. (Amsterdam), 5, 470 (1966).

ably one of the most accurate estimates of a carboncarbon bond length within a π -cyclopentadienyl system). Such discrepancies in other complexes have previously been ascribed to librational motion of the π cyclopentadienyl ligand.¹⁶ This must surely be true for the present case—it may be seen from Figure 2 that each of the π -cyclopentadienyl carbon atoms defines an ellipsoid of vibration in which the maximum amplitude of vibration is tangential to an imaginary circle drawn through the five carbon atoms. The observed carboncarbon lengths (and their associated estimated standard deviations (esd's)) are thus underestimated by an uncertain amount. [It should be noted that the independent structural work of Davis^{1b} on this complex also reveals large anisotropic motions for the atoms of the π cyclopentadienyl system. Although no esd's are available, the average C–C distance is reported as 1.41 Å. The difference between this value and ours may, however, be derived from the hydrogen contributions being

Iron-carbon distances range from 2.074 ± 0.009 to 2.097 ± 0.010 Å, averaging 2.089 ± 0.008 Å (*cf.* 2.10 Å in the determination by Davis^{1b}). The π -cyclopentadienyl system is thus linked symmetrically to the central metal ion.

omitted in Davis's calculations.]

The Carbonyl Ligands.—Each of the metal–carbonyl linkages is linear within the limits of experimental error, appropriate angles being \angle Fe–C(8)–O(1) = 179.7 ± 0.6° and \angle Fe–C(9)–O(2) = 178.2 ± 0.7°. The iron–carbonyl bond lengths are Fe–C(8) = 1.747 ± 0.006 Å and Fe–C(9) = 1.752 ± 0.007 Å (*cf.* 1.70 and 1.76 Å in Davis's study^{1b}). The species most similar to the present compound for which crystallographic information is available are π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂(σ -C₅H₅)¹⁷ and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂-(CH₂CO₂H).¹⁸ The Fe–CO bond distances found in these molecules are 1.70 Å (average) and 1.72 Å (average), respectively.

The carbon-oxygen bond lengths of 1.143 ± 0.008 and 1.146 ± 0.009 Å in the present study (1.14 and 1.16 Å in Davis's independent study^{1b}) are typical of carbonyl ligands bonded to a transition metal.

The Iron-Butadiene-Iron System.—The present crystallographic determination yields an Fe-C(sp²) σ bond distance of 1.987 \pm 0.005 Å. (Davis's value for this bond length is 2.05 Å, with an unspecified esd.^{1b}) We feel that our bond length has a sufficiently low esd for us to claim justifiably that this bond is significantly shorter than the iron-carbon bonds of unit bond order in π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂(σ -C₅H₅) [Fe-C(sp³) = 2.11 \pm 0.02 Å] and in π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂(CH₂CO₂H) [Fe-C (sp³) = 2.06 \pm 0.02 Å]. After allowing for the difference of approximately 0.03 Å in the covalent radii of sp²- and sp³-hybridized carbon atoms, the present ironcarbon bond shows a contraction of *ca*. 0.04–0.09 Å from the regular Fe-C(sp²) single-bond distance. This is,

(16) P. J. Wheatley in "Perspectives in Structural Chemistry," Vol. 1, J. D. Dunitz and J. A. Ibers, Ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1967, p 9.

⁽¹⁷⁾ M. J. Bennett, F. A. Cotton, A. Davison, J. W. Faller, S. J. Lippard, and S. M. Morehouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 4371 (1966).

⁽¹⁸⁾ M. L. H. Green, J. K. P. Ariyaratne, A. M. Bjerrum, M. Ishaq, and C. K. Prout, Chem. Commun., 430 (1967).

of course, entirely consistent with previous studies showing metal-carbon bond contractions in other systems (such as acyls,¹⁹ aryls,^{20,21} or perfluoroaryls^{20,22-24}) which are in conjugation with a metal atom.

Carbon-carbon bond lengths within the *trans*-buta-1,-3-diene fragment are: $C(6)-C(7) = 1.340 \pm 0.007$ Å, $C(7)-C(7') = 1.450 \pm 0.011$ Å, $C(7')-C(6') = 1.340 \pm 0.007$ Å (1.36, 1.47, 1.36 Å from Davis's study^{1b}). These distances are each in good agreement with the bond distances in *trans*-buta-1,3-diene itself—1.337 ± 0.005 , 1.483 ± 0.010 , 1.337 ± 0.005 Å, as determined from electron diffraction studies.²⁵

Finally, it should be noted that possible reasons for the C₄H₄ moiety exhibiting a *single* proton magnetic resonance peak at τ 3.76 have been discussed in another publication.^{1a}

The Crystal Structure

Figure 4 shows the packing of molecules in the crystal as viewed down b. The closest intermolecular contacts (of each type) are: hydrogen \cdots hydrogen, 2.48 Å; oxygen \cdots hydrogen, 2.73 Å; carbon \cdots hydrogen,

- (19) M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, 953 (1968).
 (20) M. R. Churchill, T. A. O'Brien, M. D. Rausch, and Y. F. Chang, *Chem. Commun.*, 992 (1967).
- (21) M. R. Churchill and T. A. O'Brien, J. Chem. Soc., A, 266 (1969).
 (22) M. D. Rausch, A. K. Ignatowicz, M. R. Churchill, and T. A. O'Brien,
- J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 3242 (1968).
 (23) M. R. Churchill and T. A. O'Brien, J. Chem. Soc., A, 2970 (1968).
 - (25) M. R. Churchill and T. A. O'Brien, *ibid.*, A, 1110 (1969).

(25) A. Almenningen, O. Bastiansen, and M. Traetteberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 12, 1221 (1958).



Figure 4.—Packing of molecules within the crystal, viewed down b.

2.83 Å; oxygen···carbon, 3.25 Å; oxygen···oxygen, 3.41 Å; and carbon···carbon, 3.50 Å.

Acknowledgments.—We wish to thank the late Professor G. F. Emerson and Mr. W. P. Giering for suggesting this problem. This work has been generously supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant GP-8077) and the Advanced Research Projects Agency (Contract SD-88). J. W. acknowledges, with gratitude, the receipt of a Graduate National Fellowship from Harvard University for 1967–1969.

Contribution from the Mallinckrodt Laboratory, Department of Chemistry Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

The Molecular Structure of Diazulenetetrairon Decacarbonyl in Crystalline $(C_{10}H_8)_2Fe_4(CO)_{10}\cdot C_2H_4Cl_2$

BY MELVYN R. CHURCHILL¹ AND PETER H. BIRD

Received January 30, 1969

The reaction of azulene with an iron carbonyl is known to give rise to azulenediiron pentacarbonyl and a compound of high molecular weight, previously formulated as $(C_{10}H_8)_2Fe_5(CO)_{13}$. It is now shown that this latter complex is actually $(C_{10}H_8)_2Fe_4(CO)_{10}$ and that it crystallizes from a 1,2-dichloroethane-hexane mixture as $(C_{10}H_8)_2Fe_4(CO)_{10} \cdot C_2H_4Cl_2$. Unit cell data are: $a = 17.296 \pm 0.012$ Å, $b = 15.541 \pm 0.011$ Å, $c = 12.915 \pm 0.009$ Å, $\beta = 114.53 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$, Z = 4, space group C2/c $(C_{2h}^6; no. 15)$. Observed and calculated densities are 1.818 ± 0.015 and 1.805 g cm^{-3} , respectively. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study has been completed using data to $\sin \theta = 0.40$ (Mo K α radiation) measured with a scintillation counter. All nonhydrogen atoms have been located, the final discrepancy index being $R_F = 6.22\%$ for the 2040 independent, non-zero reflections. The crystal is composed of discrete molecular units of $(C_{10}H_8)_2Fe_4(CO)_{10}$ along with 1,2-dichloroethane of crystallization (which is disordered). The polynuclear azuleneiron-carbonyl complex has crystallographically imposed C_2 symmetry and is composed of a 4-endo,4'-endo-diazulene ligand whose five-membered rings are bridged by an Fe₂(CO)₄ molety, while Fe(CO)₃ groups are linked to the 1,3-diene systems remaining in each of the seven-membered rings.

Introduction

The present paper is the sixth in our series on transition metal complexes of azulene and follows detailed reports on the crystal structures of $C_{10}H_8Fe_2(CO)_{5,2}^2$

The reaction of azulene with an iron carbonyl (i.e.,

 $[C_{10}H_{8}Mo(CO)_{3}CH_{3}]_{2}$,³ $(i-C_{3}H_{7})(CH_{3})_{2}C_{10}H_{5}Mo_{2}(CO)_{6}$,⁴

(3) P. H. Bird and M. R. Churchill, *ibid.*, 7, 349 (1968).

 $C_{10}H_8Mn_2(CO)_{6,5}$ and $(C_{10}H_8)_2Fe.^6$

- (4) M. R. Churchill and P. H. Bird, *ibid.*, 7, 1545 (1968).
 (5) M. R. Churchill and P. H. Bird, *ibid.*, 7, 1793 (1968).
- (6) M. R. Churchill and P. H. Bird, *ibid.*, *7*, 1793 (1968).
 (6) M. R. Churchill and J. Wormald, *ibid.*, *8*, 716 (1969).

⁽¹⁾ Research Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1968-1970.

⁽²⁾ M. R. Churchill, Inorg. Chem., 6, 190 (1967).